



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/927,906	08/09/2001	Chakki Kavoori	9824-136-999	5185
38881 7590 02/12/2007 DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP 1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS 6TH AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10036-2714			EXAMINER TANG, KENNETH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2195	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/927,906	KAVOORI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kenneth Tang	2195	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 December 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 and 26-34 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 29-31 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4, 6, 8-9, 11-13, 15, 26-28, and 32-33 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 5,7,10,14,16,17 and 34 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 2195

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to the Amendment on 12/1/06.
2. Applicants' arguments have been fully considered but were not found to be persuasive. Claims 1-17 and 26-34 are presented for examination.
3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. code not included in this office action can be found in a prior office action.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 29-31 are allowed.
5. Claims 5, 7, 10, 14, 16, 17, and 34 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Objections

6. Claim 15 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 2 of claim 15, "the secondary list" should be amended to "a secondary list". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. Claims 1-4, 6, 8-9, 11-13, 15 and 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prestifilippo et al. (USPN 5,446,889) (hereinafter Prestifilippo) in view of Kodosky et al. (USPN 6,608,638) (hereinafter Kodosky).

Art Unit: 2195

8. As per claim 1, Prestifilippo teaches the invention as claimed, including in a device having a processor, a computer readable memory, and at least one hardware resource coupled to each other, a method of operating resources, comprising the steps of:

- a) locating a first address in the computer readable memory of the device, the first address containing operating information associated with a first resource (col. 3 lines 9-10);
- c) reading a pointer associated with the first address that locates a subsequent address for a subsequent resource (col. 3 lines 11-13); and
- d) repeating steps a) through c) for a quantity of pointers respectively associated with multiple resources (col. 3 lines 18-20).

9. Kodosky teaches the invention as claimed, wherein the operating information is associated with hardware resources (col. 31 lines 36-42); and

- b) transmitting operating information associated with the addresses to the hardware resources (col. 10 line 60 - col. 11 line 20).

10. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Prestifilippo and Kodosky as Kodosky discusses the organizational structure of a linked list for storing operating information associated with hardware resources at length without discussion of a technique for traversing the list. Such traversal methods are largely well known in the art, but Prestifilippo teaches a method that is especially well suited for combination with Kodosky. Prestifilippo notes that linked lists can be used to store practically any kind of data, and the method of traversing linked lists disclosed by Prestifilippo is especially beneficial in the case of system crashes or hardware failures. In that sense, a well known organizational principle is applied to a

Art Unit: 2195

programmable hardware system, such that the programmer can control the hardware implementation without fear of corruption of the underlying data structures.

Furthermore, though neither Prestifilippo nor Kodosky specifically address a wireless communication device, to implement a linked list of hardware resources in such a device would be an obvious modification of the combination of Prestifilippo and Kodosky. That is, Kodosky addresses the benefits of storing hardware resources for an electronic device in a linked list data structure. Any programmable electronic device that has resources associated with portions of the implementation could make use of the disclosed data structure, including wireless communication devices. Prestifilippo is presented to demonstrate one of the well-known methods of traversing linked lists. This is an elementary programming technique, readily applicable to any linked list data structure. Hereinafter, a wireless communication device is considered an obvious modification of the combination of Prestifilippo and Kodosky. In addition, Kodosky teaches wherein the method is performed in real time while the wireless communication device is operating (*col. 17, lines 50-56*).

11. As per claim 2, Prestifilippo teaches the invention as claimed, including the wireless communication device recited in claim 1 wherein the method further comprises the step of:

- e) returning to the first pointer when all of the quantity of pointers has been exhausted in a list stored in memory (*col. 9 lines 8-9, wherein circular linked lists are a well-known and obvious implementation of such a data structure, i.e. the "next" pointer of the last element is designed to point to the head element*).

Art Unit: 2195

12. As per claim 3, Kodosky teaches the invention as claimed, including the wireless communication device recited in claim 1 wherein the method further comprises the step of:

13. repeating steps a) through c) for each of multiple sets of operating information associated with multiple uses of the hardware resource (col. 43 line 65 - col. 44 line 24; col. 44 lines 50-54).

14. As per claim 4, Kodosky teaches the invention as claimed, including the wireless communication device recited in claim 3 wherein the multiple sets of operating information are utilized within a system cycle (col. 29 line 62 - col. 30 line 5).

15. As per claim 6, Kodosky teaches the invention as claimed, including the wireless communication device recited in claim 1 wherein the information for operating the first hardware resource includes semi-static hardware control parameters (col. 12 lines 33-49; col. 23 lines 25-34).

16. As per claim 8, Kodosky teaches the invention as claimed, including the wireless communication device recited in claim 1 wherein the information for operating the first hardware resource includes dynamic hardware control parameters (col. 12 lines 33-49; col. 23 lines 25-34).

17. As per claim 9, Kodosky teaches the invention as claimed, including the wireless communication device recited in claim 8 wherein the dynamic hardware parameters are controlled by dedicated hardware resources (col. 16 line 66 - col. 17 line 11).

Art Unit: 2195

18. As per claims 11-13, Kodosky does not specifically teach the invention as claimed, wherein the hardware resources include at least one tracking finger, at least one searcher element, at least one downlink transmitter element, and at least one matched filter element. However, Kodosky discusses a system that is particularly suited for controlling automation hardware, but is not limited to such (col. 12 lines 33-49). The method is applicable to a wide variety of implementations, and provides hardware resources associated with a plethora of devices or applications.

19. As per claim 15, Kodosky teaches the invention as claimed, including the wireless communication device recited in claim 1 wherein only the hardware resources in the secondary list that are grouped together for a specific category are enabled via the pointer from the primary list (col. 23 lines 36-56; Fig. 11).

20. As per claim 26, Prestifilippo teaches the invention as claimed, including in an wireless communication device having a processor, a means for performing the method of claim 1 (Fig. 4).

21. As per claim 27, Prestifilippo teaches a method of controlling hardware resources in a wireless communication device having a processor and a memory coupled to each other, the method comprising the steps of:

locating a first memory address in the memory associated with a first hardware resource (col. 3 lines 9-10);

Art Unit: 2195

determining a pointer that is associated with the first address that locates another memory address in the memory associated with a hardware resource that can be subsequently utilized (col. 3 lines 11-13).

22. Kodosky teaches the invention as claimed, wherein the operating information is associated with hardware resources (col. 31 lines 36-42); and

b) transmitting control information associated with the first memory address to the first hardware resource to enable utilization of the first hardware resource (col. 10 line 60 - col. 11 line 20).

23. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Prestifilippo and Kodosky as Kodosky discusses the organizational structure of a linked list for storing operating information associated with hardware resources at length without discussion of a technique for traversing the list. Such traversal methods are largely well known in the art, but Prestifilippo teaches a method that is especially well suited for combination with Kodosky. Prestifilippo notes that linked lists can be used to store practically any kind of data, and the method of traversing linked lists disclosed by Prestifilippo is especially beneficial in the case of system crashes or hardware failures. In that sense, a well known organizational principle is applied to a programmable hardware system, such that the programmer can control the hardware implementation without fear of corruption of the underlying data structures.

Furthermore, though neither Prestifilippo nor Kodosky specifically address a wireless communication device, to implement a linked list of hardware resources in such a device would be an obvious modification of the combination of Prestifilippo and Kodosky. That is, Kodosky addresses the benefits of storing hardware resources for an electronic device in a linked list data

Art Unit: 2195

structure. Any programmable electronic device that has resources associated with portions of the implementation could make use of the disclosed data structure, including wireless communication devices. Prestifilippo is presented to demonstrate one of the well-known methods of traversing linked lists. This is an elementary programming technique, readily applicable to any linked list data structure. Hereinafter, a wireless communication device is considered an obvious modification of the combination of Prestifilippo and Kodosky.

24. As per claim 28, it is rejected for the same reasons as stated in the rejection of claim 27. In addition, Prestifilippo teaches the structure to support the method of claim 27 (see Fig. 4, etc.).

25. **Claims 32-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prestifilippo et al. (USPN 5,446,889) (hereinafter Prestifilippo) in view of Kodosky et al. (USPN 6,608,638) (hereinafter Kodosky), and further in view of Chintalapati et al. (hereinafter Chintalapati) (US 2002/0120710 A1).**

26. As per claim 32, Prestifilippo teaches an apparatus for dynamically implementing changes for scheduling hardware resources in a wireless communication device having a memory, the apparatus comprising:

a) means for locating a current address in the memory, the current address containing operating information associated with a current hardware resource (col. 3 lines 9-10);

Art Unit: 2195

c) means for reading a pointer associated with the current address, that identifies another address containing operating information for another hardware resource of the device (col. 3 lines 11-13); and

Kodosky teaches the invention as claimed, wherein the operating information is associated with hardware resources (col. 31 lines 36-42); and

b) transmitting operating information associated with the addresses to the hardware resources (col. 10 line 60 - col. 11 line 20).

27. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Prestifilippo and Kodosky as Kodosky discusses the organizational structure of a linked list for storing operating information associated with hardware resources at length without discussion of a technique for traversing the list. Such traversal methods are largely well known in the art, but Prestifilippo teaches a method that is especially well suited for combination with Kodosky. Prestifilippo notes that linked lists can be used to store practically any kind of data, and the method of traversing linked lists disclosed by Prestifilippo is especially beneficial in the case of system crashes or hardware failures. In that sense, a well known organizational principle is applied to a programmable hardware system, such that the programmer can control the hardware implementation without fear of corruption of the underlying data structures.

Furthermore, though neither Prestifilippo nor Kodosky specifically address a wireless communication device, to implement a linked list of hardware resources in such a device would be an obvious modification of the combination of Prestifilippo and Kodosky. That is, Kodosky addresses the benefits of storing hardware resources for an electronic device in a linked list data structure. Any programmable electronic device that has resources associated with portions of the

Art Unit: 2195

implementation could make use of the disclosed data structure, including wireless communication devices. Prestifilippo is presented to demonstrate one of the well-known methods of traversing linked lists. This is an elementary programming technique, readily applicable to any linked list data structure. Hereinafter, a wireless communication device is considered an obvious modification of the combination of Prestifilippo and Kodosky.

Prestifilippo nor Kodosky teach d) means for determining whether the current hardware resource is reused within a system cycle; wherein if the current hardware resource is reused within a system cycle, further comprising: e) means for saving the current hardware resource information from a current use. However, Chintalapati teaches accessing memory that when reused, there is a saving of processing resources. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the saving of resource information when the resource is reused to the memory system of Prestifilippo and Kodosky because it would improve system performance, as stated in Chintalapati ([0053]).

28. As per claim 33, it is rejected for the same reasons as stated in the rejection of claim 32. In addition, it was shown if hardware resources are reused, it makes the system efficient. Vice versa, if the hardware resource is not being reused, it is not being efficient. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to terminate an operation when the hardware resource is not reused within a system cycle in order to maintain its efficiency.

Response to Arguments

29. *Applicant solely argues that neither Prestifilippo nor Kodosky teach or suggest a method of operating hardware resources in a wireless communication device.*

In response, the Examiner's Office Action already stated that neither Prestifilippo nor Kodosky disclose using a wireless communication device. However, the Examiner showed and explained how it would be well known and obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that Kodosky in view of Prestifilippo could support a wireless communication device. Again, the Examiner stated that "Kodosky addresses the benefits of storing hardware resources for an electronic device in a linked list data structure. Any programmable electronic device that has resources associated with portions of the implementation could make use of the disclosed data structure, including wireless communication devices." The Applicant does not argue this and therefore, Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Thus, it is obvious to include a wireless communication device to the combined invention of Kodosky and Prestifilippo.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Art Unit: 2195


will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth Tang whose telephone number is (571) 272-3772. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM - 6:00PM, Every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kt
2/5/07


MENG-AL T. AN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100